24

25

26

27

28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		orider or erizh ordan
9		
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. CR 05-00167 WHA
11	Plaintiff,	
12	v.	ORDER RE FORT JOINDER
13	DON JOHNSON ROBERT CALLOWAY	
14	DORNELL ELLIS, EMILE FORT,	
15	RAGLAND, RONNIE CALLOWAY,	
16	ALLEN CALLOWAY and REDACTED	
	DEFENDANTS Nos. ONE & TWO,	
17	DEFENDANTS Nos. ONE & TWO, Defendants.	
17 18	DEFENDANTS Nos. ONE & TWO, Defendants.	
17 18 19	DEFENDANTS Nos. ONE & TWO, Defendants. Defendant Emile Fort submitted a document of the control of the contro	nent, Clarification of Joinder Status, in which
17 18 19 20	Defendants. Defendants. Defendant Emile Fort submitted a document purported to join the following motions:	
17 18 19 20 21	Defendants. Defendants. Defendant Emile Fort submitted a docum purported to join the following motions: • Motion for Disclosure of Grand J	ury Testimony Pursuant to Federal Rule of
17 18 19 20	DEFENDANTS Nos. ONE & TWO, Defendants. Defendant Emile Fort submitted a docum purported to join the following motions: • Motion for Disclosure of Grand J Criminal Procedure Rule 6(e)(1)(

- Motion for Disclosure of Ministerial Grand Jury Records, made by Edgar Diaz;
- Motion for Order Allowing Inspection and Copying of Jury Records, made by Don Johnson;
- Motion to Preserve All Notes Taken By Law Enforcement Officers and All Physical Evidence Seized, Processed or Examined by Federal, State and Local Agencies, made by Don Johnson.

For the Northern District of California

The Clarification of Joinder Status was filed June 29, 2006, the day after the hearings on
the motions and, of course, after the government had filed its opposition. Normally, this would
be enough to strike the entire joinder. Defendant Fort, however, will be allowed to join two of
the motions for the following reasons. He may join the Motion for Disclosure of Ministerial
Grand Jury Records, made by Edgar Diaz, because it was filed with the notation that it was
made on behalf of all defendants. The government therefore was on notice that Fort was joining
and had an opportunity to respond to any facts particular to him. Defendant Fort may also join
the Motion for an Order Allowing Inspection and Copying of Jury Records, made by Don
Johnson, because the government stated in its opposition that the motion was made on
defendant Fort's behalf. Because the government responded to the motion as if Fort had joined
it, it is not prejudiced by a recognition now that he joined the motion. Defendant Fort, however,
cannot join the Motion to Preserve All Notes Taken By Law Enforcement Officers and All
Physical Evidence Seized, Processed or Examined by Federal, State and Local Agencies, made
by Don Johnson, or the Motion for Disclosure of Grand Jury Testimony Pursuant to Federal
Rule of Criminal Procedure Rule 6(e)(1)(E)(I) and 6(e)(1)(E)(ii), made by defendant Edgar
Diaz.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 5, 2006

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE